

71 Am. Jur. 2d State and Local Taxation § 13

American Jurisprudence, Second Edition | May 2021 Update

State and Local Taxation

John Bourdeau, J.D., Romualdo P. Eclavea, J.D., Janice Holben, J.D., Alan J. Jacobs, J.D., Sonja Larsen, J.D., Jack K. Levin, J.D., Anne E. Melley, J.D., LL.M., of the staff of the National Legal Research Group, Inc., Jeffrey J. Shampo, J.D., and Eric C. Surette, J.D.

Part One. General Principles

II. Taxes Contrasted with Other Charges, Exactions, or Receipts

B. Fees

§ 13. License fees

[Topic Summary](#) | [Correlation Table](#) | [References](#)

West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, [Licenses](#)  1

West's Key Number Digest, [Taxation](#)  2000 to 2003, 2013

The term “license fee” or “license tax” implies an imposition or exaction on the right to use or dispose of property, to pursue a business, occupation, or calling or to exercise a privilege, and such charges may be imposed either under the police power for purposes of regulation or under the taxing power for purposes of revenue.¹ A license fee is made primarily for regulation, with the fee to cover the cost and the expense of supervision or regulation.² A regulatory license fee imposed by a municipal corporation under the police power is not a tax and is not subject to any of the particular constitutional limitations which apply to the taxing power as such.³ Fees for licenses required for the operation of various businesses are not taxes;⁴ if money collected is for a license to engage in business and the proceeds therefrom are purposed mainly to service, regulate, and police such business or activity, it is regarded as a license fee.⁵

In some jurisdictions, to determine whether an exaction authorized by statute or ordinance is in reality an occupation tax rather than a license fee, the courts consider whether the primary purpose of the exaction, when the statute or ordinance is considered as a whole, is for regulation or for raising “revenue”; if the former, then the exaction is a “license fee,” but if the latter, then it is an “occupation tax” regardless of the name by which it is designated.⁶

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. 33-34B © 2021 Thomson Reuters/RIA. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works. All rights reserved.

Footnotes

¹ [V-1 Oil Co. v. Utah State Dept. of Public Safety](#), 131 F.3d 1415 (10th Cir. 1997); [Terry v. City of Portland](#), 204 Or. 478, 269 P.2d 544 (1954).

² [Edgerton Contractors, Inc. v. City of Wauwatosa](#), 324 Wis. 2d 256, 2010 WI App 45, 781 N.W.2d 228 (Ct. App. 2010).

³ §§ 61, 95, 97, 98, 100.

⁴ *Medias v. City of Indianapolis*, 216 Ind. 155, 23 N.E.2d 590, 125 A.L.R. 590 (1939) (license fee for the operation of a pawnbroking business).

⁵ *V-1 Oil Co. v. Utah State Dept. of Public Safety*, 131 F.3d 1415 (10th Cir. 1997); *Maine Milk Producers, Inc. v. Commissioner of Agriculture, Food and Rural Resources*, 483 A.2d 1213 (Me. 1984).

⁶ *El Paso Apartment Ass'n v. City of El Paso*, 415 Fed. Appx. 574 (5th Cir. 2011) (applying Texas law).

End of Document

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.